



Highlights from DIS 2001 - Experimental Results

Frank-Peter Schilling / DESY

- Structure functions
- α_s and $g(x)$ from jets
- Heavy quarks (F_2^c , b cross section)
- Spin (incl. DVCS)
- Diffraction
- Isolated Leptons Update


DESY Seminar, 08/05/2001

Structure Functions

- Final 1996/97 $F_2(x, Q^2)$ at medium Q^2 [ZEUS,H1]
- NLO QCD fits to determine α_s and $g(x)$ [H1,ZEUS]
- New high E_T Tevatron jet cross sections [D0]
- New $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data at very low Q^2 via ISR [H1]
- Longit. structure function F_L at low and high Q^2 [H1]
- New F_2^ν data at low x, Q^2 [CCFR]
- Photon Structure:
 - New LEP data [Opal]
 - High E_T dijets in photoproduction [H1]

Inclusive DIS at HERA

Neutral Current:



$$Q^2 = -(K - K')^2$$

$$x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$$

$$y = Q^2 / s x$$

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma^{e^+p}}{dx dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi \alpha^2}{x Q^4} \left[Y_+ F_2 \mp Y_- x F_3 - y^2 F_L \right]$$

$$(Y_{\pm} = 1 \pm (1-y)^2)$$

$$F_2 = x \sum_q A_q (q + \bar{q}) \quad [\text{in QPM}]$$


$$F_3 = x \sum_q B_q (q - \bar{q}) \quad [\text{parity-violating, high } Q^2 \text{ only}]$$

F_L : Longitudinal Structure Function

- DGLAP equations describe evolution with Q^2

ZEUS/H1 final 1996/7 $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data

ZEUS+H1




- 4 orders of magnitude in Q^2
- $x = 6 \cdot 10^{-5} \dots 0.6$

$\Rightarrow$ Good agreement ZEUS - H1

ZEUS/H1 final 1996/7 $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data

ZEUS+H1




- stat. uncertainty $O(1\%) \rightarrow$ systematics dominate
 - steep low- x rise
 - small x : $\frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \ln Q^2} \Big|_x \sim \alpha_s P_{qg} \otimes xg(x)$
- $\Rightarrow$ determine $\alpha_s, g(x)$!


H1 and ZEUS NLO QCD fits

H1 [published]	ZEUS [preliminary]
– use lepton-proton target data only: H1, H1+BCDMS – parameterize xg, $V(x)$, $A(x)$ (effective valence and sea) – 5/4 parameter $xg(x)$ (H1+BCDMS / H1 alone) – $Q_0^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ – $\alpha_s = 0.115$ – FFN(3) massive heavy quark scheme (PGF) – Careful treatment of point-to-point correlated syst. errors (Pascaud-Zomer) – Systematic offsets determined by fit – Scale dependence computed ± 0.005	– use ZEUS, BCDMS(P+d), E665, NMC (p+d), CCFR (xF3) – parameterize xg, u_v, d_v, xS, $x(d-u)$ – 3 parameter $xg(x)$ – $Q_0^2 = 7 \text{ GeV}^2$ – $\alpha_s = 0.1172$ – VFN (Thorne/Roberts) heavy quark scheme – Careful treatment of point-to-point correlated syst. errors (Pascaud-Zomer) – Systematic offsets NOT modified by fit – No scale dep. evaluated yet

ZEUS $xg(x)$ (Preliminary)



- at $Q^2=1 \text{ GeV}^2$ valence-like gluon

Comparison: ZEUS and H1 $xg(x)$ 

- For similar model assumptions ($g(x)$ param., HQ , α_s , Q_0^2),
 H1 and ZEUS gluons very similar!
- H1 error smaller [treatment of correl. syst. errors?]

α_s from NLO QCD fits (H1/ZEUS)

$$\left[\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1184 \pm 0.0031 \text{ World average} \right]$$

S. Bethke

α_s	ZEUS	H1
	0.1172 prelim.	0.1150
errors:	$\pm 0.0008(\text{stat})$ $\pm 0.0054(\text{syst})$	$\pm 0.0017 (\text{exp})$ $+0.0009$ $-0.0005 (\text{model})$
sum:	0.0055	0.0020
scales:	?	≈ 0.005



- Exp. errors: World average precision!
- Dominating uncertainty: Theory (scale)
 In NNLO: $\Delta_{\text{scale}} \sim 0.001-2$ [A. Vogt]
 $\Rightarrow$ Available 2001!
- HERA II: syst. error ~ 0.001

$\Rightarrow$ High precision QCD

$\Rightarrow$ "Need HERA WG's!" [R. Cashmore]

High E_T jets in pp (D0)

$$d^2\sigma/dE_T d\eta \text{ (fb/GeV)}$$




$\Rightarrow$ Constrain high x gluon $E_T(\text{GeV})$
 $\Rightarrow$ Being implemented in global fits



Initial state
radiation

$\Rightarrow$ smaller $s \leadsto$ smaller Q^2 @ same θ_e

New $F_2(x, Q^2)$ data at very low Q^2 (H1)



• close gap between very low and medium Q^2

$\Rightarrow$ access to interesting transition region $\sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$

Longitudinal Structure Function

$$F_L \sim \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \beta_2 \otimes x g(x)$$


New F_L measurements at low/high Q^2 (H1)

NEW

2.2 - 12 GeV²
 $y < 0.9$
 $|E_p| > 3 \text{ GeV}$

Upgraded
 Backward
 Detectors

Agreement
 with NLO QCD
 fit



NEW

110 - 700 GeV²
 $y < 0.75$
 1st F_L @ hi Q^2

H1 Collaboration

F_L extraction


- high Q^2 : "Extrapolation method" $G_1 = F_2 - \frac{y^2}{y_+} F_L$
- small Q^2 : "Derivative method"

$$\frac{\partial G_1}{\partial \ln y} \bigg|_{Q^2} = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \ln y} \bigg|_{Q^2} - \frac{2y^2(1-y)}{y_+^2} F_L - \frac{\partial F_L}{\partial \ln y} \cdot \frac{y^2}{y_+}$$

New data $F_2^{\nu}(x, Q^2)$ at low x, Q^2 (CCFR)

[hep-ex/0011094]

CCFR $F_2(x, Q^2)$




NEW!

NEW!

- New measurement at low x and Q^2
- Now agreement $F_2^{\nu} \Rightarrow F_2^e$ [NMC, E665] for $x > 0.0125$

Photon structure at LEP and HERA

LEP




$$p^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$Q^2 \gg p^2$$

$\Rightarrow$ constrains q_γ

HERA




$$Q^2 \approx 0 \text{ GeV}^2$$

high p_T
jets

$\Rightarrow$ constrains $q_\gamma + g_\gamma!$

$$F_2^\gamma = F_2^{\text{point}} + F_2^{\text{hadr.}}$$



$$\gamma^{(0)} \rightarrow q\bar{q}$$


pert.



$$\gamma \rightarrow V (J^{PC} = 1^{--})$$

non-pert.

Photon Structure: New LEP data




- Consistent with GRV / SAS
- Indication for low- x rise (?)
- Sizeable spread between datasets

High E_T Dijets in γp (H1)

Measurement of $\frac{d\sigma}{dx_\gamma}$

Difference between data and theory:



Upper plot: only uncorrelated part of the systematic errors are shown.

NLO predictions describe the x_γ distribution

(no confirmation of earlier ZEUS observation)

DIS 2001, S. Ferron, Ecole Polytechnique

10

=> Fight to reduce Energy scale uncertainty!

α_s and $g(x)$ from Jets

- New result:
 - 3-Jet cross sections in DIS [H1]
- New result:
 - α_s from subjet multiplicities [ZEUS]
- Gluon density from jets [H1]
- α_s measurements summary [ZEUS,H1]

Jet production in DIS:

Sensitive to $\alpha_s \otimes g(x)$

Either: Input $g(x)$, extract α_s
Or: Input α_s , extract $g(x)$

Observables:

- Inclusive jets
- Dijets
- 3-jets
- Subjet mult.
- ...

$$Q^2 = 5 \dots 5000 \text{ GeV}^2$$

$$M_{3\text{-jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$$

[M. Wobisch]



3-Jet Production in DIS (H1)

Ratio of 3-Jet and 2-Jet Cross Section: $R_3/2 \propto \alpha_s$

NLO

NLO available
($\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$)

Theory progress $\Rightarrow$
needed



measurement with same cut: $M_{n\text{-jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$
probe PDFs at same $x \Rightarrow$ cancellation of PDF uncertainties
comparing data with leading order and with next-to-leading order pQCD

$\Rightarrow$ NLO: significant change of shape + good agreement with data

$\Rightarrow$ small renormalization scale dependence over whole Q^2 range

M. Wobisch, DESY

Three-Jet Production in DIS

10


$\Rightarrow$ Sensitivity
to α_s

α_s from subjet multiplicities (ZEUS)

15

Comparison of the DATA
with NLO QCD predictions (2)

$Q^2 > 125 \text{ GeV}^2$
 $E_T > 15 \text{ GeV}$
incl. K_T alg.



- The measurements are sensitive to α_s

We extract α_s using the data with $E_{T,\text{jet}} > 25 \text{ GeV}$

[Small hadronization corrections]


Oscar González (ZEUS Coll., UAM)

DIS Workshop 27-IV-2001

$$\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1185 \pm 0.0016(\text{stat.})_{-0.0048}^{+0.0067}(\text{syst.})_{-0.0071}^{+0.0089}(\text{theo.})$$

$g(x)$ from jets in DIS (H1)


Determination of $xg(x)$



- Jet cross sections directly sensitive to $xg(x)$ and $xq(x)$
- Inclusive DIS (M_Z) directly sensitive to $xq(x)$ only
- $\alpha_s(M_Z) = 0.1184 \pm 0.0031$


Direct determination from jets at $0.01 < x < 0.1$ is consistent with results from global fits

α_s summary (ZEUS,H1)



Heavy Quarks


- D^* cross sections and F_2^c measurements [ZEUS,H1]
- b cross section at LEP [L3,OPAL]
- b cross section in photoproduction and DIS [H1,ZEUS]



Boson-Gluon
Fusion

F_2^c (ZEUS)

New measurement using semileptonic decays:




- Consistent with D^* analysis
- Described by NLO DGLAP

F_2^c (H1)

F_2^c not an observable!
Need extrapolation
from σ_{vis} !

F_2^c in the NLO DGLAP scheme

H1 96-97




- H1 data show stronger scaling viol. @ small x than predicted by NLO DGLAP
 - Better described by CCFM (angular ordering)
- [L. Lönnblad]

- No discrepancy H1-ZEUS if same kinematics regions are compared! ▼

b cross section at LEP


$$\gamma\gamma \longrightarrow b\bar{b}$$



- NLO QCD too low by factor 3
- 2/3.5 standard deviations

[Similar at Tevatron]

Production of Open Beauty at HERA



- necessary ingredient to **understand proton structure**
- b mass provides hard scale, i.e. good **testing ground for pQCD**

QCD calculations available in NLO
 $p_t \approx m_b \rightarrow$ 'massive' approach
 (b produced dynamically in hard subprocess)



- γp : 'FMNR' (Frixione et al.)
- DIS: 'HVQDIS' (Harris, Smith)

NLO corrections
 large in both cases

Open Beauty at HERA(I) : Photoproduction Cross Sections

new data and new methods $\rightarrow$ **γp results confirmed and improved**

ZEUS: electron p_T^{rel} analysis **H1:** muon lifetime + p_T^{rel} analysis



parton level cross section

visible cross section (comb. with publ. result)

$$\sigma_{ep \rightarrow e + bX} = (1.6 \pm 0.4 \substack{+0.3 \\ -0.5} \substack{+0.2 \\ -0.4}) \text{ nb}$$

$$\sigma_{vis}^{ep \rightarrow e + \bar{b}X \rightarrow \mu X'} = (170 \pm 25) \text{ pb}$$

$$\text{[NLO QCD: } \sigma = (0.64 \pm \substack{+0.14 \\ -0.10}) \text{ nb]}$$

$$\text{[NLO QCD: } \sigma = (104 \pm 17) \text{ pb]}$$

J. Kroseberg


Open Beauty Production at HERA

DIS2001 16

Beauty production in DIS (H1)

Open Beauty at HERA (II)

- **first measurement in DIS:**



$ep \rightarrow b\bar{b}eX \rightarrow \mu X'$ cross section in visible range:

$$\sigma_{vis} = [39 \pm 8 (stat.) \pm 10 (syst.)] \text{ pb}$$

$$\text{NLO QCD: } \sigma = (11 \pm 2) \text{ pb}$$


- **all measured cross sections above NLO QCD**

→ discrepancy theory $\leftrightarrow$ data further established

→ now seen in ep , γp , $\gamma\gamma$ and $p\bar{p}$ interactions

Spin

- Status of g_1
 - HERMES low x data [HERMES]
 - [valence / sea decomposition]
- Transversity [HERMES]
- DVCS [H1,ZEUS,HERMES]



Spin: Introduction


Quark number density: $q(x)$

$$F_1(x) = 1/2 \sum_q e_q^2 (q(x) + \bar{q}(x))$$




Quark helicity: $\Delta q(x)$

$$g_1(x) = 1/2 \sum_q e_q^2 (\Delta q(x) + \Delta \bar{q}(x))$$



Quark transversity: $\delta q(x)$


$$h_1(x) = 1/2 \sum_q e_q^2 (\delta q(x) + \delta \bar{q}(x))$$



Transversity:

- δq : distr. of transverse quark spin in transversely polarized nucleon
- $\delta q \neq \Delta q$ due to relativistic and spin orbit effects
- h_1 never measured so far, chiral-odd !

g_1 : New HERMES low x data




Transversity: First results

Single spin asymmetry with long. polarized


target also sensitive to $\delta q(x) \underbrace{H_1^{\perp(1),q}(z)}_{\text{Collins Function (Transv. pol. frag. func.)}}$

$$\vec{e} \parallel \vec{p} \neq \vec{\gamma}^* \parallel \vec{p}, \quad S_T \sim 15\%$$

Spin dependence
of azimuthal
distr. of leading
pion




φ [of π around γ^* direction]



- non-zero asymmetry for π^+ , π^0 ; difference to π^- predicted by Collins (u-quark dominance in valence region)

$\Rightarrow$ Major physics topic in HERMES run II



$$x_1 \neq x_2$$

Access to generalized PDF's

[see review M. Diehl]

DVCS (ZEUS and H1)


H1 Cross section measurement (Cont.)

- Clean process
- NLO calc. [A. Freund]

ZEUS - first observation of DVCS

• selection criteria:




$$\begin{aligned} \theta_1 &> 160^\circ, \theta_2 > 10^\circ \text{ GeV} \\ \theta_2 &< 187^\circ, \theta_3 > 2^\circ \text{ GeV} \\ |b_2 - b_1| &> 45^\circ \\ Q^2 &> 6 \text{ GeV}^2 \end{aligned}$$



$$f_L = 37 \text{ pb}^{-1}$$

$$\text{MC : } t \text{ slope} = 4.5 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

ZEUS 1996/97 Preliminary




$$\sigma \sim |DVCS|^2 + |BH|^2 + |BH + DVCS|$$

$\Rightarrow$ Exp. access to amplitude via ϕ asymmetry!


DVCS (Hermes)

[M. Vinciter]



$$A_{LU}(\phi) = \frac{2 \cdot (N^+(\phi) - N^-(\phi))}{\langle |P_L| \rangle \cdot (N^+(\phi) + N^-(\phi))}$$

$N^\pm$ are the normalized yield of events with positive and negative lepton beam helicity states.





[no asymmetry
observed if
 $M_X \neq m_p$]

$\Rightarrow$ Hot topic for HERMES run II

Diffraction


- Odderon [H1]
- Factorization breaking?
 - a) p-diss. ρ meson production [H1]
 - b) Leading baryon production [ZEUS,H1]
- Hard Diffraction in DIS:
 - Event shapes [ZEUS]
 - Dijet production [H1]
 - 3-Jet production [ZEUS]
- Diffraction at the Tevatron [CDF,D0]






Searching the Odderon (H1)

THE SIGNATURE



Model: MSU (Stochastic vacuum)
[Dosch, Nachtmann, Berger]



$$\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow e \pi^0 N^*} < 39 \text{ nb} \quad (\text{H1 preliminary})$$

$$\sigma_{\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 N^*} = 400 \text{ nb} \quad (\text{MSV prediction})$$

Model of the Stochastic Vacuum


restricted to the visible t range: $0.02 \text{ GeV}^2 < t < 0.3 \text{ GeV}^2$
 $0.3 < y < 0.7$ and $Q^2 < 0.01 \text{ GeV}^2$
 $W_{\gamma p} \approx 210 \text{ GeV}$
 neutron tagged and extrapolated via Clebsch Gordan formalism

$\Rightarrow$ This $\emptyset$ ruled out!


Factorization breaking in Diffraction? (H1, ZEUS)

Leading Baryon Production:


Fraction of leading p/n



ZEUS LPS:
ZEUS




ZEUS FNC:
ZEUS



Proton dissociative ρ production (H1):

$$\gamma^* p \rightarrow \rho^0 Y \quad \text{Ratio p-Diss./Elastic}$$

p-diss.
elastic



Strong rise for $Q^2 \lesssim 5 \text{ GeV}^2$

• Breaking of Regge factorization!?

• ~~Not observed~~
Not observed such pronounced before

Event shapes in Diffraction (ZEUS)

Event shapes in diffractive DIS



Proton is tagged using **Leading Proton Spectrometer (LPS)**

$$\begin{aligned}
 &4 < Q^2 < 150 \text{ GeV}^2, & 70 < W < 250 \text{ GeV} \\
 &0.0003 < x_p < 0.03, & 4 < M_X < 35 \text{ GeV} \\
 &13.8 \text{ pb}^{-1}, & \text{CMS of hadronic system (X)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Mean thrust value ($\langle T \rangle$) as a function of M_X

$$T(\hat{n}) = \frac{\sum_i |\hat{n} \cdot p_i|}{\sum_i |p_i|}$$

($\hat{n} : \max T(\hat{n})$)



- $\langle T \rangle$ now **agrees with H1**
 - ⇐ **Definition of HFS changed** such that short life time particles such as D^+ are decayed.
- $\langle T \rangle$ becomes **larger** as M_X increases
- $\langle T \rangle$ in diffraction is **smaller than in e^+e^-**
- Resolved pomeron model (RAPGAP) describes data
 - $q\bar{q}$ part only is too narrow $\sim e^+e^-$
 - ⇒ **Importance of $q\bar{q}g$ contribution in diffraction**


Dijets in Diffractive DIS (H1)

F.-P.Schilling, DESY

Diffractive Jet Production in DIS - Testing QCD Factorization

Diffractive Gluon Distribution

Dijets directly constrain shape and normalization of g^D :



[res. γ^* , R and quark contributions small]



- H1 fit 2: very good agreement with data
- H1 fit 3: overshoots at high z_P
- ACTW-D: too high

⇒ Strong support for fully factorizable diffr. PDF's in DIS which are gluon-dominated with momentum distr. flat in z

Proton rest frame picture: $q\bar{q}g \gg q\bar{q}$ states

3-Jets in Diffractive DIS (ZEUS)


Jet shape in diffractive DIS 3jet events



- Most forward jet is broader than most backward jet
- Data are described by the model where g is emitted to the IP side and one of q is emitted to the γ side.
⇒ Supports the picture

Diffraction at the Tevatron


Diffraction dijet production:



$\frac{1}{10}$ of HERA
extrapolation!

Tevatron Summary:

diff.
non-diff. (%)




μ^2

HERA <> TEVATRON: Factorization badly broken!


Isolated Leptons Update

Standard Model $\ell + P_T^{\text{miss}}$ events



- W mostly photo-produced $\rightarrow$ low(ish) P_T
 P_T^W is measured from hadrons $= P_T^X$
- Standard Model (QCD LO) $\sigma(ep \rightarrow eW^\pm X) \simeq 1.2 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
- HERA experiments accumulated $120 \text{ pb}^{-1}/\text{exp.}$
 ~ 30 events already produced in each detector

Isolated Leptons Update (H1)



Combined
 $e^+\mu$

New Data since Osaka: extra 20 pb^{-1} e^+p @ $\sqrt{s} = 320$ GeV
4 new W candidates in e-channel ($1e^+$ @ $P_T^X > 25$ GeV)

e^+p

H1 94-00 $e+p$ 101.6 pb^{-1}	Electrons	Muons	$e+\mu$ combined
$P_T^X > 0$ GeV	10 / 7.9 ± 1.9 6_{Osaka}	8 / 2.6 ± 0.7	18 / 10.5 ± 2.5 (only W 8.2)
$P_T^X > 12$ GeV	5 / 2.6 ± 0.7 4_{Osaka}	8 / 2.6 ± 0.7	13 / 5.1 ± 1.3 (only W 4.2)
$P_T^X > 25$ GeV	4 / 1.3 ± 0.3 3_{Osaka}	6 / 1.5 ± 0.4	10 / 2.8 ± 0.7 (only W 2.3)
$P_T^X > 40$ GeV	2 / 0.4 ± 0.1 2_{Osaka}	4 / 0.6 ± 0.2	6 / 1.0 ± 0.3 (only W 0.93)

$\approx$ 1 new
event at $P_T^X > 25$

@ high $P_T^X > 25$ GeV : $10/2.82 \pm 0.73$

94-97 data 36.5 pb^{-1} 5 events 5 μ ($2^+, 2^-, 1^+$)

99-00 data 65.1 pb^{-1} 5 events 4 e^+ and 1 μ^+

e^-p

H1 98-99 e^-p (13.6 pb^{-1}) Data: 0 SM: 1.78
($e: 1.46 \pm 0.30$ $\mu: 0.32 \pm 0.09$)

Isolated Leptons Update (ZEUS)

ZEUS prel. 1994-00 $e^{\pm}p$ 130 pb ⁻¹	Electrons Observed/Expected (W)	Muons Observed/Expected (W)
$P_T^X > 25$ GeV	1 / 1.14 ± 0.06 (1.10)	1 / 1.29 ± 0.16 (0.95)
$P_T^X > 40$ GeV	0 / 0.46 ± 0.03 (0.46)	0 / 0.50 ± 0.08 (0.41)

$\Rightarrow$ • H1 still sees excess

• ZEUS does not

$\Rightarrow$ upward or downward fluctuation?

$\Rightarrow$ HERA II

Personal Highlights

- New F_L, F_2 datasets
at medium $Q^2 \Rightarrow g(x), \alpha_s$
- low $Q^2 \sim 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ data
 $\Rightarrow$ transition region NNLO
- Jets: - 3 jets
 - Subjets $\Rightarrow \alpha_s$
- b cross sections
- Vertex factorization
 breaking in diffraction

DISclaimer / Acknowledgements

- It was impossible to cover everything!
- Not covered today:
 - Vector mesons
 - High Q^2 NC/CC cross sections
 - RP-SUSY, Leptoquarks, excited fermions, extra dimensions,...
 - ...
- Sorry to all whose results were not shown
- Thanks to all who helped by providing plots / transparencies
- Special thanks to:
 - Halina Abramowicz
 - Rosario Nania
 - Peter Schleper
 - Manuella Vinciter
 - Jim Whitmore
- and the organizers of DIS 2001 !